## **REMARKS**

The claims pending in the application remain 26-50.

Fávorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants elect Group A, Claims 26-40, for examination at the present time, with traverse. More particularly, Applicants respectfully submit Group B, Claims 41-46 should <u>also</u> be examined at the present time, for the following reasons.

According to M.P.E.P.§ 1893.03(d), unity of invention (<u>not</u> restriction) practice, applies to U.S. national phase applications filed under 35 U.S.C. § 371. Unity of invention is determined <u>without</u> regard to a group of inventions being claimed in separate claims or as alternatives in a single claim. More specifically, <u>lack</u> of unity of invention requires establishing <u>no</u> single general inventive concept.

In the present application, Claims 26-40 relate to a method and device for recirculating part of exhaust gas from a diesel engine, while Claims 41-46 are directed to a valve for mixing exhaust gas and fresh air. These claims <u>all</u> recite, among other features, a valve or valve device 12 comprising two dampers 20, 21, with one of the dampers 20, 21 always open and the other damper 20, 21 closed by a common motor 22 (reference is being made to preferred embodiments of the present invention illustrated in the drawings of the present application ).

Therefore, in accordance with M.P.E.P. §1893.03(d), there is a technical relationship involving at least <u>one</u> common or corresponding special technical feature to <u>link</u> Claims 26-46 to a <u>single</u> general inventive concept to provide unity of invention.

Contrary to the assertion in paragraph 2 of the Office Action, there is <u>indeed</u> an

inventive feature carried through these two groups of claims A and B. It is respectfully pointed out the classification categories of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office set forth in paragraph 2 of the Office Action do <u>not</u> bear upon determining unity of invention as set forth in M.P.E.P. §1893.03(d) and the corresponding federal and international statutes and rules.

In this regard, a copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report PCT/IPEA/409 issued in the parent PCT application is enclosed. Claims 1-21 appended to the International Preliminary Examination Report, and which <u>correspond</u> to Claims 26-46 presented for examination herein, have been deemed to <u>possess</u> unity of invention by the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Accordingly, for the reasons presented <u>supra</u>, it is respectfully requested <u>all</u> Claims 26-46 presented herein be examined at the present time as possessing requisite unity of invention. The right to pursue a divisional application to the subject matter of nonelected Claims 47-50 is explicitly reserved by the Applicants.

Early favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

George M. Kaplan, Esq.

Reg. No. 28,375

Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, NY 11553 (516) 228-8484